

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,088	07/07/2003	Thomas Forest	0607 1457	6958
	7590 07/05/2007 lorf, Steimle & Becker		EXAM	INER
Patentanwalte	•		CHERY	DADY
Postfach 10 37 of D-70032 Stutte			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GERMANY	,,,,	•	2616	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

17			
*	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\$
•	10/613,088 FOREST ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dady Chery	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ate, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	July 2003.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	• •	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	for alastian requirement		
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			04(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I			
The bath of declaration is objected to by the t	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of John F10-13.	۷.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume		Annlination No	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority			د
application from the International Bure		Treceived in this reational otage	,
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		t received.	
	•		
Attachment/c)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date.	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. This subject matter is not limited to that which falls within a statutory category of invention because it is not limited to a process, machine, manufacture, or a composition of matter. A program does not fall within a statutory category since it is clearly not a series of steps or acts to constitute a process, not a mechanical device or combination of mechanical devices to constitute a machine, not a tangible physical article or object which is some form of matter to be a product and constitute a manufacture, and not a composition of two or more substances to constitute a composition of matter.

2. limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 23,24,25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in

Application/Control Number: 10/613,088 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 23 - 25 and 27 recite a means that does not appear in combination with another recited element of means. The single means recites in the claim make it nonenabling because the specification disclosed at most only those means known to the inventor. See MPEP 2164.08 (a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Application/Control Number: 10/613,088

Art Unit: 2616

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1- 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fellman et al. (US Patent 6,246,702, hereinafter Fellman) in the view of Woods et al. (US Patent 6,748,451, hereinafter Woods).

Regarding claims 1, 21 and 26,Fellman discloses a method for transmitting data within a communication system (Fig. 2), the communication system comprising a communication media (1) and a number of nodes (100) connected to the communication media, the method comprising the step of:

transmitting the data across the communication media within a communication cycle comprising a number of time slots assigned to one or more nodes of the communication system (Col. 5, lines 24 34). Fellman discloses a method for transmitting data across a communication media (1) at regular intervals where dedicated time slots are defined for each nodes, which is the same function as described by the instant application.

Fellman does not clearly teach the communication cycle is initiated by an event.

However, Woods teach a method (fig. 1) where a communication cycle is initiated by an

Art Unit: 2616

event (Col. 5, lines 3 – 7 and Col. 7, lines 49 –54). Which is the same function as described by the instant application. Woods also teaches a local event table (Fig. 1, 114) and master even table (112) that considered as the means for receiving internal and external event and a member node (107) which is considered as a means for initiating a communication cycle upon receipt the event.

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to initiate the communication cycle by an event in order for each node to initiating each communication at the time defined in the schedule (Abstract).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Fellman fails to teach the event is external or internal. However, Woods teaches a local event table (114) that is considered as internal event and a master event table (112) that is considered an external event.

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to consider the event as internal or external for the purpose of controlling timing for applicable time dependent task (Col. 8, lines 1-3).

Regarding claim 4, Fellman discloses one of the nodes of the communication system is defined as a master node, which initiates said communication cycle in conjunction with a predetermined trigger signal (Col. 10, lines 54 –Col. 11, lines 5). Where the common time reference is considered as a predetermined trigger signal.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,088

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 5, Fellman discloses *the master node receives the trigger signal* (Col. 10, lines 62 –64). Where the assigning of the signal is considered as receiving the signal.

Regarding claim 6, Fellman discloses the master node generates the trigger signal (Col. 10, lines 64 – 66). Where the master device generate a trigger signal that uses for synchronizing other slaves devices.

Regarding claim 7, Fellman discloses a method wherein execution of the communication cycle is suspended until the master node receives or generates the trigger signal (Col. 12, lines 45 –Col. 13, lines 12). Where the indication of no device is allowed to transmit (col. 23, lines 6-9) is considered as suspended until the master device receives or generates the trigger signal.

Regarding claim 9, Fellman discloses a method where during a guard phase, which is period of time, the network is quiet any packet cannot be transmitted (Col. 14, lines 25 – 27). This is the same function as described by the instant application.

Regarding claim 10, Fellman discloses a method wherein the master node issues an event indication signal (EIS) upon receipt or generation of said trigger signal (Col. 10, lines 57 – Col. 11, lines 5), the other nodes of the communication system being defined as slave nodes which receive said event indication signal and which resume execution of said communication cycle upon reception of said event indication signal (Col. 11, lines 6 –15).

Regarding claim 11, Fellman discloses a method wherein said communication cycle comprises a cycle gap (19) into which said nodes enter to suspend execution of the communication cycle (fig. 9A,) the standard interpacket gap IPG is considered as the cycle gap, wherein the master node issues said event indication signal and the slave nodes receive the event indication signal to resume execution of said communication cycle (Col. 11, lines 6 –14).

Regarding claims 12 and 14, Fellman discloses the method wherein the event indication signal is used for synchronizing the slave nodes (Col. 10, lines 64 –66).

Regarding claim 13, Fellman discloses the method wherein said event indication signal is defined as a low/high/low sequence (Fig. 5), wherein a high/low transition is used as a synchronizing event for said slave nodes (Col. 14, lines 1 – 24).

Regarding claim 15, Fellman discloses the method wherein the trigger signal is generated in the master node (Col. 10, lines 64 –66).

Regarding claim16, Fellman discloses the method wherein the trigger signal is applied to the master node from a unit external to the master node (Col. 15, lines 27 30).

Regarding claim 17, Fellman discloses the method wherein the communication cycle comprises a static segment with time slots of a predefined size and in a predefined order (Fig. 4,and Col. 12, lines 13- 16).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 18, Fellman discloses the method wherein the communication cycle comprises a dynamic segment with time slots for transmitting a variable number of frames of variable length and variable order (Fig. 5, and col. 14, lines 2- 14).

Regarding claim 19 and 20, Fellman discloses computer readable medium including RAM and ROM for storing the computer program to execute the method of claim 1 (Fig. 2, and 3). Every computer has memory (RAM and ROM).

Regarding claim 22; Fellman discloses the node wherein the event receiving means accommodate a predefined trigger signal, wherein said means for initiating said communication cycle initiate said communication cycle upon receipt of said trigger signal (Col. 10, lines 57 –col. 11, lines 5) Where the master device is considered as the means.

Regarding claims 23 and 24, Fellman discloses the node o, wherein the node comprises means for internally generating said trigger signal and means for receiving said trigger signal from a unit external to the node (Col. 15, lines 27 –30). Where the master device is considered as the means.

Regarding claims 25and 26, Fellman discloses wherein the node comprises means for executing the method of claim 1 and the communication system comprises means for executing the method of claim 4 (Fig. 2, 100 and 1000). Where the master device is considered as the means.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,088 Page 9

Art Unit: 2616

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dady Chery whose telephone number is 571-270-1207. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8 am - 4 pm ESt.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Q. Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dady Chery 06/28/2007

RIČKY Q. NGO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER